

Chronic Disease and Medical Innovation in an Aging Nation

## The Silver Book<sup>®</sup>: Cancer



# The Silver Book<sup>®</sup>: Cancer

This year 1.6 million new cases of cancer are expected to be diagnosed, and 78 percent of those cases will be in Americans age 55 and older. Thankfully tremendous breakthroughs in personalized medicine and immunooncology offer much hope for those currently suffering, and for the 1 in 2 men and 1 in 3 women who will get cancer in their lifetime.

### Prevalence & Incidence



The Human Burden In the U.S., CANCER accounts for: 1 out of every 4 DEATHS est. 589,430 DEATHS expected in 2015		If trends continue # Cancer will soon be the CAUSE of DEATH in the U.S.	
Close to 1/3 of cancer survivors experience ABILITY TO PERFORM ACTIVITIES of daily live Those that <b>experience pain</b> : 59% of cancer patients in active treatment 33% of survivors 64% with advanced/metastatic/ terminal disease	AND American Cancer Society 2015) The LIMITATIONS IN THEIR Ving (Ekwuerne et al. 2014) Depression affects: 15-25% of cancer patients	(Murphy et al. 2013) Of those survivors employed at any time since their diagnosis, <b>48%</b> of women <b>35%</b> of men <b>made changes</b> <b>in their work</b> because of their cancer	s

#### The Economic Burden In one study, 42% of participants reported THE TOTAL COST OF CANCER a SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL BURDEN As a result: **\$216.6** BILLION partially filled a 19% prescription TOTAL took less than the 20% EXPECTED prescribed amount TO REACH \$86.6 BILLION of medication Direct medical costs avoided filling 24% prescriptions \$130 BILLION used their savings 46% Indirect mortality to help cover outcosts (National Heart, Lung, and of-pocket expenses Blood Institute 2013) reduced spending 46% 2009 2030 on food & clothing 12 Medicare fee-for-service dollars cut back on 68% is SPENT ON CANCER CARE (Stockdale & Guillory 2013) leisure activities (Zafara 2013)

## The Value of Innovation

THE 5-YEAR SURVIVAL RATE FOR CANCER has gone: in the last 40 YEARS



Since 1980, 83% of gains in life expectancy for cancer patients have been attributable to new treatments (Sun et al. 2008)

**n%** 

(American Cancer Society 2014)



Between 1998 and 2000, investments in CANCER RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT has generated 23 million additional healthy years of life and **\$1.9 trillion** of additional social value (Lakdawala 2010)



Close to **One-third of all drugs** in the biopharmaceutical pipeline **are for cancer**, with around **5**,**500** potential first-in-class cancer medicines in development (Long & Works 2013)



(American Association for Cancer Research 2014)

THE POTENTIAL VALUE TO U.S. SOCIETY FROM REDUCTION IN CANCER-RELATED DEATHS (Murphy & Topel 2006 and 2003)



from INCREASED QUALITY **OF LIFE & PRODUCTIVITY** from longer lives



## Policy

In order to sustain medical innovation in the face of rising pressure for cost-containment, we need policies that encourage scientific progress that aligns with the evolving ways that research is conducted and care is delivered

#### **Investing in Research & Development**

Our nation must support basic and translational science that will lead to life-changing research advances by:

- Increasing our investment in biomedical research at the National Institutes of Health
- Supporting public-private partnerships that facilitate innovative clinical trial designs and promote efficiency in drug development
- Improving clinical trial recruitment, selection, and retention best practices

#### **Advancing Regulatory Science & Policy**

- Accelerating development of improved diagnostic and drug development tools like validated biomarkers, patient-reported outcome measures, and next generation sequencing technology
- Developing better standards for data collection, sharing, and utilization by the research community that respect privacy and intellectual property

Preserving access to innovative high-quality diagnostic

Improving utilization of observational data generated

tests through appropriate review and approval

#### The Food & Drug Administration must continue facilitating innovative approaches to drug development and review by:

- Supporting the implementation of novel trial designs, and new mechanisms for analytical and clinical performance assessment such as adaptive trials, single-arm trials, master protocols, and the use of curated databases
- Promoting patient involvement in defining endpoints, outcomes, and benefit-risk assessments
- abasesthrough real-world use of interventions in supplementalefining endpoints,indication applications, drug label revisions, and otherntspre- and post-market decision-making
- Employing expedited review pathways and coordinating review for companion diagnostics

#### **Transforming Reimbursement & Coverage**

National reimbursement and coverage policies should incentivize high-quality, patient-centered, and coordinated care by:

- Determining value and payment in cancer care by longterm benefits identified through engagement of relevant stakeholders that capture the complexity of targeted cancer tests and services
- Updating quality and performance measures through transparent procedures and multi-stakeholder engagement, taking into account clinical and patientreported outcomes
- Applying comparative effectiveness research and health information technology to capture real-time outcomes that matter to patients
- Supporting innovative value-based payment and delivery models that incentivize patient-centeredness and care coordination
- Shifting cost-sharing away from the patient to reduce socioeconomic health disparities

#### **Providing Access to Quality Care**

#### All patients should have access to patient-centered quality cancer care ensured by:

- Using clinical decision-making tools developed through a transparent physician-driven process with patient input, that support individualized treatment decisions, and are incorporated into EHRs
- Requiring affordable coverage of clinically meaningful services and treatments and an adequate provider network in state health exchanges
- Providing cancer care planning, coordination services, and palliative care
- Assuring timely access to diagnostic tests
- Creating a universal HIT system that captures meaningful patient-reported outcomes, reports data in real time, and supports effective care transitions

## References

American Association for Cancer Research. 2014. AACR Cancer Progress Report 2014. *Clin Cancer Res 20* (Supp1):S1-S112.

American Cancer Society. 2015. *Cancer Facts & Figures 2015.* Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, Inc.

American Cancer Society. 2014. Cancer Facts & Figures 2014. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, Inc.

American Cancer Society. 2014. Cancer Treatment and Survivorship Facts & Figures 2014-2015. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, Inc.

Bloom, D, E Cafiero, E Jane-Llopis, S Abrahams-Gessel, L Bloom, et al. 2011. *The Global Economic Burden of Non-Communicable Diseases*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum.

Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. Deaths: Final Data for 2013. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 64(2).

Ekwuekme, D, K Yabroff, G Guy, M Banegas, J de Moor, et al. 2014. Medical Costs and Productivity Losses of Cancer Survivors: United States, 2008-2011. *MMWR* 63(23):505-10. Ferlay, J, M Ervik, R Dikshit, S Eser, C Mathers, et al. 2013. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: *IARC CancerBase No.11*. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Howlader, N, A Noone, M Krapcho, J Garshell, D Miller, et al. 2013. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2011. Bethesda, MD: NCI. Based on November 2013 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2014.

Lakdawala, D, E Sun, A Jena, C Reyes, D Goldman, et al. 2010. An Economic Evaluation of the War on Cancer. *J Health Econ* 29(3):333-46.

Long, G and J Works. 2013. Innovation in the Biopharmaceutical Pipeline: A multidimensional view. Boston, MA: Analysis Group.

Murphy, S, J Xu, and K Kochanek. 2013. Deaths: Final data for 2010. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 61(4):1-117.

Murphy, K and R Topel. 2006. The Value of Health and Longevity. *J Political Econ* 114(5):871-904.

Murphy, K and R Topel. 2003. *Measuring the Gains for Medical Research: An economic approach*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. National Cancer Institute. 2014. Depression. Bethesda, MD: NCI. Available at <u>www.cancer.gov/</u> <u>cancertopics/pdq/supportivecare/</u> <u>depression/HealthProfessional/</u> page1. Last accessed March 2015.

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. 2013. *NHLBI Fact Book, Fiscal Year 2012*. Bethesda, MD: NHLBI.

Stockdale, H and K Guillory. 2013. Lifeline: Why cancer patients depend on Medicare for critical coverage. Washington, DC: ACS-CAN.

Sun, E, D Lakdawalla, C Ryes, D Goldman, T Philipson, et al. 2008. The Determinants of Recent Gains in Cancer Survival: An analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database. J Clin Oncolo 27(15S).

Van den Beuken-van Everdingen, M, J de Rijke, A Kessels, H Schouten, M van Kleef, et al. 2007. Prevalence of Pain in Patients with Cancer: A systematic review of the past 40 years. Ann Oncol 18(9):1437-49.

Zafara, S, J Peppercorna, D Schragb, D Taylor, A Goetzingerd, et al. 2013. The Financial Toxicity of Cancer Treatment: A pilot study assessing out-of-pocket expenses and the insured cancer patient's experience. *Oncologist* 18(4):381-90.



### Advancing Science. Enhancing Lives.

1700 K Street, NW Suite 740 Washington, DC 20006 202.293.2856

www.agingresearch.org

Alliance for Aging Research

@Aging\_Research

The Alliance for Aging Research is the leading nonprofit organization dedicated to accelerating the pace of scientific discoveries and their application in order to vastly improve the universal human experience of aging and health.

© 2015 Alliance for Aging Research

This volume of *The Silver Book*<sup>°</sup> supported by an educational grant from:



Produced in partnership with



