

Chronic Disease and Medical Innovation in an Aging Nation

The Silver Book[®]: Valve Disease

FOCUS ON AORTIC STENOSIS



The Silver Book[®]: Valve Disease

FOCUS ON AORTIC STENOSIS

Aortic stenosis is one of the most common and serious types of heart valve disease. Aortic stenosis can be debilitating, costly, and deadly. Survival rates without treatment for severe symptomatic aortic stenosis are low at 50% at 2 years after symptom onset, and 20% at 5 years. Fortunately, innovative treatments are saving lives and aortic stenosis can be successfully treated with valve replacement in patients of all ages.

Facts in silver type deal specifically with older Americans.

Cost of Aortic Stenosis

Prevalence & Incidence

 In 2016, as many as 5.8 million U.S. adults had aortic valve disease (AVD).

Alliance for Aging Research generated statistic, based on 2005 percentage prevalence estimates by Bach et al. 2007, *Prevalence, Referral Patterns, Testing, & Surgery in AVD* AND U.S. Census 2016, *American Fact Finder*

Aortic stenosis (AS) is among the most common forms of heart valve disease (HVD), affecting 2% to 3% of the adult U.S. population.

Clark et al. 2012, Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed Severe Aortic Stenosis

Age — A Major Risk Factor

 In 2016, an estimated 5.2 million U.S. adults ages 65+ had AVD.

Alliance for Aging Research generated statistic, based on 2005 percentage prevalence estimates by Bach et al. 2007, *Prevalence, Referral Patterns, Testing, & Surgery in AVD* AND U.S. Census 2016, *American Fact Finder*

An estimated 12.4%, or ~2.5 million people ages 75+ in North America, have AS.

Osnabrugge et al. 2013, Aortic Stenosis in the Elderly

Prevalence estimates for AS are likely low. A U.K. population screening found previously undetected HVD in 1 in 2 adults ages 65+.

D'Arcy et al. 2016, Large-Scale Community Echocardiographic Screening Reveals a Major Burden of Undiagnosed Valvular Heart Disease in Older People



Population Prevalence of Heart Valve Disease in U.K.

D'Arcy et al. 2016, Large-Scale Community Echocardiographic Screening Reveals a Major Burden of Undiagnosed Valvular Heart Disease in Older People

Cost of Aortic Stenosis continued >

The Burden of Aortic Stenosis

Human Burden

Patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis (sSAS) who do not undergo valve replacement have survival rates as low as 50% at two years and 20% at 5 years after the onset of symptoms.

Otto 2000, Timing of Aortic Valve Surgery

- Medicare patients with sSAS who do not undergo treatment have an average lifespan of 1.8 years. Clark et al. 2012, Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed SAS
- Waiting for treatment for sSAS can be deadly, with 1-month mortality at 3.7% and 6-month mortality at 11.6% (measured from the time intervention was recommended).

Malaisrie et al. 2014, Mortality While Waiting for AVR

In 2014, all-cause mortality for aortic valve disorders was 34,408 in the U.S.

Benjamin et al. 2017, Heart Disease & Stroke Statistics - 2017 Update



Medicare sSAS patients who do not undergo treatment have an average of 1.9 hospital admissions per year and prolonged lengths of stay — 11.5 hospital days per patient-year.

Clark et al. 2012, Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed SAS

Economic Burden

AVD (symptomatic and asymptomatic) costs the U.S.
 \$10.2 billion in direct costs each year.

Moore et al. 2016, The Direct Health-Care Burden of Valvular Heart Disease

Symptomatic aortic stenosis (SAS) patients who do not undergo treatment cost Medicare as much as \$1.3 billion each year due to rehospitalization, prolonged stays, admissions to skilled nursing facilities, and use of hospice care.

Clark et al 2012, Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed SAS



Cost of Aortic Stenosis continued >

Cost of Aortic Stenosis continued

Five-Year Health Care Resource Use in Medically Managed SAS Patients

Resource	Resource Use
Acute inpatient hospitalization	100%
Hospitalizations	4.4 (mean)
Hospital days	26.7 (mean)
Long-term care hospital	3.4%
Inpatient rehab facility	9.5%
Skilled nursing facility	52%
Skilled nursing days	25.5 (mean)
Hospice care	27.6%
Home health care	57.4%
Outpatient hospital care	84.2%
Physician services	100%
Durable medical equipment use	70.9%
Dialysis services	5.7%

Clark et al. 2012, Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed SAS

The Future Cost



D'Arcy et al. 2016, Large-Scale Community Echocardiographic Screening Reveals a Major Burden of Undiagnosed Valvular Heart Disease in Older People

Awareness of HVD

Not all AS patients experience symptoms but they can include chest pain, fatigue, difficulty walking short distances or up stairs, shortness of breath, lightheadedness, dizziness, or fainting. Those that experience symptoms may miss them or dismiss them as "normal" signs of aging. With proper detection and treatment, AS patients can be successfully treated — making awareness critical.

A survey of Americans found that only 1 in 4 know "somewhat" or a "great deal" about HVD. Forty percent know nothing about the disease.

BRS 2016, Report of Findings from National Survey Research on Public Awareness of HVD

Of those Americans surveyed ages 65+, 30% know nothing about HVD.

BRS 2016, Report of Findings from National Survey Research on Public Awareness of HVD

More than 2/3 of valve disease patients knew a limited amount or nothing about HVD before their diagnosis.
DS 2016 for the formation of the limit of th

BRS 2016, Report of Findings from Opinion Research Among Heart Valve Disease Patients

Six in 10 patients did not have or recognize symptoms of HVD and were only diagnosed after going to their doctor for a regular check-up or a visit for something else.

BRS 2016, Report of Findings from Opinion Research Among Heart Valve Disease Patients

More than 40% of heart murmurs — detected with a stethoscope and sometimes the first sign of HVD — are missed by family practitioners.

Vukanovic-Criley et al. 2006, Competency in Cardiac Examination Skills in Medical Students, Trainees, Physicians, and Faculty

AS is often undertreated — one study found that 56% of sSAS patients referred to a cardiothoracic surgeon were not operated on.

Bach 2011, Prevalence & Characteristics of Unoperated Patients with Severe AS

Innovative Medical Research

The Human Value

In 2010, ~67,500 surgical aortic valve replacements (SAVR) were performed in the U.S.

Clark et al. 2012, Clinical and Economic Outcomes After SAVR in Medicare Patients

- Patients with SAS ages 80+ who underwent SAVR have 1-year, 2-year, and 5-year survival rates of 87%, 78%, and 68% respectively — compared with 52%, 40%, and 22% for those patients who did not have surgery. Varadarajan et al. 2006, Survival in Elderly Patients with SAS is Dramatically Improved by AVR
 - Varadarajan et al. 2000, Survivar in Eldeny Fallens with SAS is Dramatically improved by AVN
- At 1-year, SAS patients with intermediate surgical mortality risk who underwent transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR), had all-cause mortality rates of 7.4% (compared to 13% for SAVR), disabling stroke rates of 2.3% (compared to 5.9% for SAVR), and rehospitalization rates of 11.4% (compared to 15.1% for SAVR).

Thourani et al. 2016, TAVR versus SAVR in Intermediate-Risk Patients

From approval in 2011 through 2015, >54,000 TAVRs were preformed in 418 centers in 48 states.

Grover et al. 2017, 2016 Annual Report of The STS/ACC Transcatheter Valve Therapy Registry

A study of sSAS patients who received TAVR found 30-day mortality of 2.2% for those who were high risk of surgical mortality/inoperable, and 1.1% for those at intermediate surgical mortality risk.

Kodali et al. 2016, Early Clinical and Echocardiographic Outcomes after SAPIEN 3 TAVR in Inoperable, High-Risk and Intermediate-Risk Patients with AS

SAS patients who underwent TAVR experienced quality of life (QoL) improvements from 5.3 at baseline (10 point scale with 10=best imaginable health state) to 6.7 at one year, and 7.4 at four years post-procedure.

Kovac 2016, Four-Year Experience with the CoreValve Transcatheter Heart Valve

Among treated HVD disease, 96% express "full satisfaction" and 78% are "very satisfied" with their treatment.

BRS 2016, Report of Findings from Opinion Research Among HVD Patients

TAVR and SAVR in intermediate-risk SAS patients were associated with significant improvements in disease specific status (16 to 22 point improvement on a 100 point scale) and in generic health status (3.9 to 5.1 point improvement on a 36 point scale).

Baron et al. 2017, Health Status Benefits of Transcatheter vs Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis at Intermediate Surgical Risk

The Economic Value

A study of 4,617 patients who underwent aortic valve replacement (AVR) over a period of 20 years found significant gains in life expectancy and quality of life — 43,166 net life-years gained at a net value of \$11.2 billion (~\$14.2 billion in 2017 dollars).

Wu et al. 2007, The Value of Aortic Valve Replacement in Elderly Patients AND Bureau of Labor Statistics, CPI Inflation Calculator The cost-effectiveness ratio for SAVR, compared to no surgery, was estimated at \$13,528 per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) (~\$17,226 in 2017 dollars).

Wu et al. 2007, Cost-Effectiveness of AVR in the Elderly AND Bureau of Labor Statistics, CPI Inflation Calculator

Patients with sSAS considered to be at high surgical risk who underwent TAVR experienced lifetime incremental cost-effectiveness ratios of \$55,090 per QALY gained and \$43,114 per life-year (LY) gained. Reynolds 2016, Cost-Effectiveness of TAVR with a Self-Expanding Prosthesis Versus SAVR

The Silver Book®: Chronic Disease and Medical Innovation in an Aging Nation is an almanac of statistics on the burden of chronic disease and the value of innovation in reducing the human and economic costs of disease. Launched in 2006, *The Silver Book* has become a trusted resource for health policy experts and thought leaders across the nation, and has featured volumes and factsheets on osteoporosis, thrombosis, atrial fibrillation, heart disease, persistent pain, cancer, healthcare-associated infections, infectious diseases & prevention through vaccination, vision loss, diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, and neurological diseases. All data is available on-line where users can access more than 2,900 facts, statistics, graphs,

and data from more than 800 agencies, organizations, and experts.

www.silverbook.org/valvedisease

SilverBook@agingresearch.org



Bach, D. 2011. Prevalence and Characteristics of Unoperated Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis. *J Heart Valve Dis* 20(3):284-91.

Bach, D, J Radeva, H Birnbaum, A Fournier, & E Tuttle. 2007. Prevalence, Referral Patterns, Testing, and Surgery in Aortic Valve Disease: Leaving women and elderly patients behind? *J Heart Valve Dis* 16(4):362-9.

Baron, S, S Arnold, K Wang, E Magnuson, K Chinnakondepali, et al. 2017. Health Status Benefits of Transcather vs Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis at Intermediate Risk: Results from PARTNER 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Cardiol 2(8):837-45.

Beldon Russonello Strategists, on behalf of the Alliance for Aging Research. 2016. Report of Findings from National Survey Research on Public Awareness of Heart Valve Disease. http://ow.ly/HMsv30dBALy

Belden Russonello Strageists, on behalf of the Alliance for Aging Research. 2016. Report of Findings from Opinion Research Among Heart Valve Disease Patients. <u>http://ow.ly/ttdi30dBCc6</u>

Benjamin, E, M Blaha, S Chiuve, M Cushman, S Das, et al., on behalf of the AHA Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. 2017. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics — 2017 Update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circ* 135(10):e146-603.

Bureau of Labor Statistics. CPI Inflation Calculator. www.bls.gov/data/inflation_ calculator.htm

Clark, M, S Arnold, F Duhay, A Thompson, M Keyes, et al. 2012. Five-Year Clinical and Economic Outcomes Among Patients with Medically Managed Severe Aortic Stenosis: Results from a Medicare claims analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 5(5):697-704. Clark, M, F Duhay, A Thompson, M Keyes, L Svensson, et al. 2012. Clinical and Economic Outcomes After Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Medicare Patients. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy* 2012(5):117-26.

D'Arcy, J, S Coffeey, M Loudon, A Kennedy, J Pearson-Stuttard, et al. 2016. Large-Scale Community Echocardiographic Screening Reveals a Major Burden of Undiagnosed Valvular Heart Disease in Older People: The OxValve Population Cohort Study. *Eur Heart J* 37(47):3515-22.

Grover, F, S Vemalapalli, J Carroll, F Edwards, M Mack, et al. 2017. 2016 Annual Report of The Society for Thoracic Surgeons/American College of Cardiology Transcatheter Valve Therapy Registry. *Ann Thorac Surg* 103(3):102-35.

Kodali, S, V Thourani, J White, S. Malaisrie, S Lim, K Greason, et al. 2016. Early Clinical and Echocardiographic Outcomes after SAPIEN 3 Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement in Inoperable, High-Risk and Intermediate-Risk Patients with Aortic Stenosis. *Eur Heart J* 37:2252-62.

Kovac, J, G Schuler, U Gerckens, R Muller, P Serruys, et al. 2016. Four-Year Experience with CoreValve Transcatheter Heart Valve. *EuroInterven* 12(8):e1039-46.

Malaisrie, SC, E McDonald, J Kruse, Z Li, E McGee, et al. 2014. Mortality While Waiting for Aortic Valve Replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg* 98(5):1564-71.

Moore, M, J Chen, P Mallow, & J Rizzo. 2016. The Direct Health-Care Burden of Valvular Heart Disease: Evidence from US national survey data. *ClinicoEcon Outcomes Res* 8:613-27.

Osnabrugge, R, D Mylotte, S Head, N Van Mieghem, V Nkomo, et al. 2013. Aortic Stenosis in the Elderly: Disease prevalence and number of candidates for transcathet aortic valve replacement, a meta-analysis and modeling study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:1002-12.

EDWARDS

LIFESCIENCES

FOUNDATION

Otto, C. 2000. Timing of Aortic Valve Surgery. *Heart* 84(2): 211-8.

Reynolds, M, Y Lei, K Wang, K Chinnakondepalli, K Vilain, et al. 2016. Cost-Effectiveness of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement with a Self-Expanding Prosthesis Versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement. JACC 67(1):29-38.

Thourani, V, S Kodali, R Makkar, H Herrmann, M Williams, et al. 2016. Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Versus Surgical Valve Replacement in Intermediate-Risk Patients: A propensity score analysis. *Lancet* 387(10034):2218-25.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2016. American Fact Finder. http://bit.ly/2waFWma

Varadarajan, P, N Kapoor, R Bansal, & R Pai. 2006. Survival in Elderly Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis is Dramatically Improved by Aortic Valve Replacement: Results from a cohort of 277 patients aged ≥80 years. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 30(5):722-7.

Vukanovic-Criley, J, S Criley, C Warde, J Boker, L Guevara-Matheus, et al. 2006. Compentency in Cardiac Examination Skills in Medical Students, Trainees, Physicians, and Faculty. *Arch Intern Med* 166(6):610-6.

Wu, YX, G Grunkemeier, and A Starr. 2007. The Value of Aortic Valve Replacement in Elderly Patients: An economic analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 133(3):603-7.

Wu, YX, R Jin, G Gao, G Grunkmeier, & A Starr. 2007. Cost-Effectiveness of Aortic Valve Replacement in the Elderly: An introductory story. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 133(3):608-13.

Facts in silver type deal specifically with older Americans.



1700 K Street, NW, Suite 740 Washington, DC 20006 202.293.2856

www.agingresearch.org

Alliance for Aging Research@Aging_Research

This fact sheet is supported by an educational grant from the



Edwards

Acknowledgements:

The Alliance extends its thanks to the following experts for reviewing *The Silver Book*[°]: *Valve Disease:*

- Frank Evans, PhD, NIH/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute
- Vinod H. Thourani, MD, FACS, FACC, Chair, Depart of Cardiac Surgery, Medstar Heart & Vascular Inst, Washington DC

The Alliance for Aging Research is the leading non-profit organization dedicated to accelerating the pace of scientific discoveries and their application in order to vastly improve the universal human experience of aging and health. © 2017 Alliance for Aging Research